

REMARKS

This Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action mailed January 22, 2003. In order to advance prosecution in this Application, Claims 2 and 12 have been amended. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and favorable action in this Application.

The drawings stand objected to for not including FIGURE 12C. Applicant respectfully submits that FIGURE 12C was included with the corrected drawings submitted with Applicant's previous response. Nonetheless, Applicant respectfully encloses a copy of the drawing sheet illustrating FIGURE 12C.

The drawings stand objected to for not including FIGURE 13D. Applicant respectfully submits that FIGURE 13D was included with the corrected drawings submitted with Applicant's previous response. Nonetheless, Applicant respectfully encloses a copy of the drawing sheet illustrating FIGURE 13D.

The drawings stand objected to for not including FIGURE 14B. Applicant respectfully submits that FIGURE 14B was included with the corrected drawings submitted with Applicant's previous response. Nonetheless, Applicant respectfully encloses a copy of the drawing sheet illustrating FIGURE 14B.

The drawings stand objected to for not including FIGURE 24B. Applicant respectfully submits that FIGURE 24B was included with the corrected drawings submitted with Applicant's previous response. Nonetheless, Applicant respectfully encloses a copy of the drawing sheet illustrating FIGURE 24B.

The drawings stand objected to for not including FIGURE 31D. Applicant respectfully submits that FIGURE 31D was

included with the corrected drawings submitted with Applicant's previous response. Nonetheless, Applicant respectfully encloses a copy of the drawing sheet illustrating FIGURE 31D.

The drawings stand objected to for not including FIGURE 35C. Applicant respectfully submits that FIGURE 35C was included with the corrected drawings submitted with Applicant's previous response. Nonetheless, Applicant respectfully encloses a copy of the drawing sheet illustrating FIGURE 35C.

The drawings stand objected to for not including FIGURE 38B. Applicant respectfully submits that FIGURE 38B was included with the corrected drawings submitted with Applicant's previous response. Nonetheless, Applicant respectfully encloses a copy of the drawing sheet illustrating FIGURE 38B.

The drawings stand objected to for not including FIGURE 42B. Applicant respectfully submits that FIGURE 42B was included with the corrected drawings submitted with Applicant's previous response. Nonetheless, Applicant respectfully encloses a copy of the drawing sheet illustrating FIGURE 42B.

The drawings stand objected to for not including FIGURE 44B. Applicant respectfully submits that FIGURE 44B was included with the corrected drawings submitted with Applicant's previous response. Nonetheless, Applicant respectfully encloses a copy of the drawing sheet illustrating FIGURE 44B.

The specification stands objected to for various informalities. The specification has been amended to address the informalities identified by the Examiner.

Claims 2-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Though Applicant believes that Claims 2-12 provide statutory subject matter in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §101, Claims 2 and 12 have been amended in order to advance prosecution of this Application. Claim 2 has been amended to show a concrete and tangible result in the technological arts through the generation of a graphical representation of the overall architecture for the business and the generation of a plan for implementation and deployment of information technology within the graphical representation of the overall architecture. Claim 12 shows a concrete and tangible result within the technological arts through code generation of an overall architecture for the business. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 2-12 are in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §101.

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for allowance. For the foregoing reasons, and for other reasons clearly apparent, Applicant respectfully requests full allowance of Claims 2-12.

A one month extension of time is respectfully requested for filing this response and, in support thereof, a Notification of Extension of Time is attached herewith.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  
014208.1334  
(70-99-002)

PATENT APPLICATION  
09/378,514

12

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-0765 of Electronic Data Systems Corporation.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Attorneys for Applicant

Charles S. Fish

Reg. No. 35,870

May 22, 2003

Correspondence Address:

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600

Dallas, Texas 75201-2980

(214) 953-6507

Customer Number or Bar Code Label:

